

2. a). Expressions are not particularly simple compared to general case:

$$\rho_i = \lambda_i E[B] \quad W_0 = \frac{1}{2} E[B^2] \lambda$$

where $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^P \lambda_i$

$$W_k = \frac{\frac{1}{2} E[B^2] \lambda}{(1 - E[B] \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i) (1 - E[B] \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \lambda_i)}$$

$$b) \sum_{k=1}^P \lambda'_k W_k = \frac{1}{2} E[B^2] \sum_{k=1}^P \frac{\lambda_k}{(1 - E[B] \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i) (1 - E[B] \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \lambda_i)}$$

Sum of 1st two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^2 \lambda'_k W_k &= \frac{1}{2} E[B^2] \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{1 - E[B] \lambda_1} + \frac{\lambda_2}{[1 - E[B] (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)] (1 - E[B] \lambda_1)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} E[B^2] \frac{\lambda_1 [1 - E[B] (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)] + \lambda_2}{(1 - E[B] \lambda_1) (1 - E[B] (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2))} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} E[B^2] \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}{1 - E[B] (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \end{aligned}$$

Pattern continues, and

$$\sum_{k=1}^P \lambda'_k W_k = \frac{1}{2} E[B^2] \frac{\lambda}{1 - E[B] \lambda} = \frac{W_0}{1 - \rho}$$

3. Clearly, delay for classes $J+1$ to P is infinite. Furthermore, classes $J+2$ to P never receive service because class $J+1$ queue is never empty.

So, the only priorities that affect the system are priorities 1 to $J+1$.

But what is effective arrival rate of class $J+1$?

Call effective traffic intensity of class $J+1$ ρ'_{J+1}

$$\text{We then have } \rho'_{J+1} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^J \rho_i$$

and effective arrival rate of class $J+1$ is:

$$\lambda'_{J+1} = \frac{\rho'_{J+1}}{E[B_{J+1}]}$$

Use non-pre-emptive priority model with $J+1$ priorities, with all statistics of the $J+1$ classes as in actual system except replace actual λ_{J+1} with λ'_{J+1} above.

1. (2.40 Robertazzi)

High priority customers not affected in any way by low priority customers. So, high priority customers effectively see a single-server queue with arrival rate = 5 and service rate = 20, so

$$E[n] = \rho / (1 - \rho) = 1/4 / (1 - 1/4) = 1/3$$

EECS 863 HW #10

(a) Each has dedicated link of 192 kbps

4(a) class 1:

$$\lambda_1 = 100 \text{ pkts/mc}$$

$$L_1 \sim U(32, 128)$$

$$E[L_1] = \frac{128+32}{2} = 80 \text{ bytes} \\ = 640 \text{ bits}$$

$$E[L_1^2] = \frac{(128-32)^2 + 80^2}{12} = 7168 \text{ bytes}^2$$

$$E[B_1] = \frac{E[L_1]}{c_1} = \frac{640}{192,000} = 3.33 \text{ msec}$$

$$E[B_1^2] = \frac{E[L_1^2]}{c_1^2} = 12.44 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sec}^2$$

$$\rho_1 = \lambda_1 E[B_1] = 0.333$$

class 2:

$$\lambda_2 = 150 \text{ pkts/mc}$$

$$L_2 \sim \text{expo}$$

$$E[L_2] = 128 \text{ bytes} = 1,024 \text{ bits}$$

$$E[L_2^2] = 2(128^2) = 32,768 \text{ bytes}^2$$

$$E[B_2] = \frac{E[L_2]}{c_2} = 5.33 \text{ msec}$$

$$E[B_2^2] = \frac{E[L_2^2]}{c_2^2} = 56.89 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sec}^2$$

$$\rho_2 = \lambda_2 E[B_2] = 0.8$$

n/s (1)

$$E[T_i] = E[B_i] + \frac{\lambda_i E[B_i^2]}{2(1-\rho_i)}$$

Mean delay class 1

$$E[T_1] = 3.33 \times 10^{-3} + \frac{100 \times 12.44 \times 10^{-6}}{2(1-0.333)} = 4.26 \text{ msec} \quad \underline{\text{Ans}}$$

Mean delay class 2

$$E[T_2] = 5.33 \text{ msec} + \frac{150 \times 56.89 \times 10^{-6}}{2(1-0.8)} = 26.66 \text{ msec} \quad \underline{\text{Ans}}$$

traffic-weighted mean delay

$$E[T] = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} E[T_1] + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} E[T_2] = \frac{100}{250} (4.26) + \frac{150}{250} (26.66) \\ = 17.7 \text{ msec} \quad \underline{\text{Ans}}$$

4 (b) Share 384 kbps link.

Class 1:

$$E[B_1] = \frac{640}{384000} = 1.67 \text{ msec}$$

$$E[B_1^2] = 3.11 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sec}^2$$

Class 2:

$$E[B_2] = \frac{1024}{384000} = 2.67 \text{ msec}$$

$$E[B_2^2] = 2(2.67 \text{ msec})^2 = 14.22 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sec}^2$$

$$E[B] = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} E[B_1] + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} E[B_2] = 2.27 \text{ msec}$$

$$E[B^2] = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} E[B_1^2] + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} E[B_2^2] = 9.78 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sec}^2$$

$$\rho = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) E[B] = \rho_1 + \rho_2 = 0.167 + 0.4005 = 0.568$$

Mean delay class 1:

$$E[T_1] = 1.67 \text{ msec} + \frac{(100+150) 9.78 \times 10^{-6}}{2(1-0.568)} \approx 4.50 \text{ msec} \quad \text{Ans}$$

Mean delay class 2:

$$E[T_2] = 2.67 \text{ msec} + \frac{(100+150) 9.78 \times 10^{-6}}{2(1-0.568)} \approx 5.50 \text{ msec} \quad \text{Ans}$$

Mean delay

$$E[T] = 2.27 \text{ msec} + \frac{(150+100) 9.78 \times 10^{-6}}{2(1-0.568)}$$

$$\approx 5.10 \text{ msec}$$

Ans

$$\text{Nota: } \sum \rho_i W_i = (\rho_1 + \rho_2) W = (0.568)(2.83 \times 10^{-3}) = 1.61 \times 10^{-3}$$

4(c) Non-preemption priority.

$$W_0 = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_1 E[B_1^2] + \lambda_2 E[B_2^2]) = \frac{1}{2} (100 \times 3.11 \times 10^{-6} + 150 \times 14.22 \times 10^{-6})$$
$$= 1.22 \text{ msec}$$

$$W_1 = \frac{W_0}{1 - \rho_1} = \frac{1.22 \text{ msec}}{1 - 0.167} = 1.47 \text{ msec}$$

$$W_2 = \frac{W_1}{1 - \rho_1 - \rho_2} = \frac{1.47 \text{ msec}}{1 - 0.568} = 3.4 \text{ msec.}$$

Mean delay class 1:

$$E[T_1] = E[B_1] + W_1 = 1.67 + 1.47 = 3.14 \text{ msec} \text{ Ans}$$

Mean delay class 2:

$$E[T_2] = E[B_2] + W_2 = 2.67 + 3.4 = 6.07 \text{ msec} \text{ Ans}$$

Mean delay

$$E[T] = \frac{100}{250} E[T_1] + \frac{150}{250} E[T_2]$$

$$= 4.9 \text{ msec} \text{ Ans}$$

$$\text{Note: } \sum \rho_i W_i = (0.167)(1.47 \times 10^{-3}) + (0.4)(3.4 \times 10^{-3})$$
$$= 1.61 \times 10^{-3}$$

Sum of $\sum \rho_i W_i$ for M/G/1 w/o priorities

This is M/G/1 conservation law.

5. M/G/1 Conservation Law: $\sum_i p_i W_i = \frac{\rho W_0}{1-\rho}$

From previous solution:

$$\rho = 0.568, W_0 = 1.22 \text{ ms} \quad \frac{(0.568)(1.22)}{1-0.568} = 1.61 \text{ ms}$$

$$\bar{L}_1 = \frac{\bar{L}_{1, \text{fcfs}} + \bar{L}_{1, \text{priority}}}{2} = \frac{4.5 + 3.14}{2} = 3.82 \text{ ms}$$

$$W_1 = \bar{L}_1 - E[B_1] = 3.82 - 1.67 = 2.15 \text{ ms}$$

$$\sum_i p_i W_i = (0.167)(2.15) + (0.4)(W_2) = 1.61 \Rightarrow W_2 = 3.13 \text{ ms}$$

$$\bar{L}_2 = E[B_2] + W_2 = 2.67 + 3.13 = 5.8 \text{ ms}$$

$$\bar{L} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda} \bar{L}_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda} \bar{L}_2 = \frac{100}{250}(3.82) + \frac{150}{250}(5.8) = 5.01 \text{ ms}$$

b. Is it work-conserving? Yes!

Since it is a pre-emptive system, the class 2 traffic is totally transparent to the class 1 traffic. So, class 1 waiting time can be computed using M/G/1 results assuming it is the only traffic. Can then use M/G/1 conservation to get class 2 mean waiting times.