Designing Systems Using Rosetta

Dr. Perry Alexander The University of Kansas 2291 Irving Hill Road Lawrence, KS 66044 (785) 864-7741 alex@ittc.ukans.edu

David L. Barton AverStar, Inc. 1593 Spring Hill Rd. Vienna, VA 22182 (703) 852-4254 dlb@averstar.com

Danny C. Davis AverStar, Inc. 1593 Spring Hill Rd. Vienna, VA 22182 (703) 852-4031 ddavis@averstar.com



What is Systems Engineering?

- Managing and integrating information from multiple domains when making design decisions
- Managing constraints and performance requirements
- Managing numerous large, complex systems models
- Working at high levels of abstraction with incomplete information
- ...Over thousands of miles and many years

"...the complexity of systems... have increased so much that production of modern systems demands the application of a wide range of engineering and manufacturing disciplines. The many engineering and manufacturing specialties that must cooperate on a project no longer understand the other specialties. They often use different names, notations and views of information even when describing the same concept. Yet, the products of the many disciplines must work together to meet the needs of users and buyers of systems. They must perform as desired when all components are integrated and operated."

D. Oliver, T. Kelliher, J. Keegan, Engineering Complex Systems, McGraw-Hill, 1997.

The Systems Level Design Problem

- The cost of systems level information is too high...
- Design goals and system components interact in complex and currently unpredictable ways
- Interrelated system information may exist in different engineering domains
- Information may be spread across the system specification, in separate parts of the system (intellectually distant)
- Representation and analysis of high level systems models is difficult and not well supported
- Representation and analysis of interactions between system elements is not supported at all



Multi-Domain Design



-<u>osett</u>a



Prior Airplane Design Experience with Altering an Existing Design Time (Summer 92) Need: 92 **Require flutter stiffness** while minimizing weight The accumulators were added for several reasons including this. Solution Option #2: Solution Option #1: Put stiffness in actuator Put stiffness in structure (increase diameter of piston and actuator) (Early 94) (~93) Impact: Impact: Increased hydraulic fluid flow Mold line change **Option Not Fully Explored** (to maintain surface deflection rates for flying gualities) Increased drag Reduced LO Structural redesign Required bigger hydraulic **Option not fully explored** pump and accumulators since it was not the minimum-weight design solution (Late 93) (Appeared Late 94) Probably not -Added weight (Full Impact in Late 95) **Required boring out** largest available pump Reached Required boring out largest pump which would Lowered reliability power extraction fit in allowable physical envelope as constrained Lowered Time limit from engine by OML and internal structure Between Overhaul 95 Reached power extraction limit from engine and power transmission limit of AMAD gearbox

in upper left-hand corner of flight envelope (high and slow)

What is Missing?

- Systematic support for whole systems design throughout the system lifecycle...
- Languages and notations for integrated modeling of system domains
- Tools for integrated analysis of interactions between system domains
- Tools supporting predictive analysis early in the design
 process
- Tools supporting systems level analysis throughout the system lifecycle
 - ..A systems level design language and support environment to reduce the cost of information

Supporting Systems Level Design

The cost of systems level information can be reduced by providing a language and toolset for systems level design

- A standard methodology, language and tool infrastructure for
 - 1. Describing, analyzing and integrating systems models in different engineering domains
 - 2. Describing and analyzing interactions between systems models in different engineering domains
 - 3 Performing predictive analysis at and between varying abstraction levels

Rosetta is a systems level design language being developed explicitly to address these needs



What is Rosetta?

Rosetta is an emerging high-level description language for specifying systems that explicitly provides:

- Support for domain specific modeling
- Support for modeling cross domain interactions
- Support for defining and combining models of systems and system components
- Support for modeling and analysis at high levels of abstraction
- Support for specifying constraints and performance requirements for the system and system components in a top-down manner
- Support for requirement verification as the system description evolves

Rosetta Modeling Approach

- Decompose a system description into multiple, interacting models
- Models describing system structure
 - Describe the interconnection of constituent components
 - Describe the characteristics of required components
- Models describing system characteristics
 - Describe each perspective, or facet, of the system
 - Use a domain model appropriate for each system facet
 - Use an interaction model to describe how domain models interact
 - Combine characteristic models and interaction to provide a complete composite system model



Rosetta Analysis Approach

Analyze models throughout system development to detect errors earlier in the lifecycle

- Analyze models at each stage of the design lifecycle
 - Determine the consistency of individual models
 - Determine the consistency of interactions between models
 - Detect model inconsistency and interaction errors early
- Analyze design iterations with respect to systems level goals to assure correctness
 - Determine if the design iteration is correct
 - Detect design errors early



Prior Airplane Design Experience with Altering an Existing Design Time (Summer 92) Need: 92 **Require flutter stiffness** while minimizing weight The accumulators were added for several reasons including this. Solution Option #2: Solution Option #1: Put stiffness in actuator Put stiffness in structure (increase diameter of piston and actuator) (Early 94) (~93) Impact: Impact: Increased hydraulic fluid flow Mold line change **Option Not Fully Explored** (to maintain surface deflection rates for flying gualities) Increased drag Reduced LO Structural redesign Required bigger hydraulic **Option not fully explored** pump and accumulators since it was not the minimum-weight design solution (Late 93) (Appeared Late 94) Probably not -Added weight (Full Impact in Late 95) **Required boring out** largest available pump Reached Required boring out largest pump which would Lowered reliability power extraction fit in allowable physical envelope as constrained Lowered Time limit from engine by OML and internal structure Between Overhaul 95 Reached power extraction limit from engine and power transmission limit of AMAD gearbox

in upper left-hand corner of flight envelope (high and slow)

Using Systems Design Tools – Actuator



Using Systems Design Tools – Actuator



Refinement – The Servoyalve



Servo Valve Design Parameters



Activity Refinement

- The user reaches the servovalve by selecting it on the upper level diagram and then selecting "refine" from the tool menu.
- Because an actuator has been defined as a standard part, the component diagram is displayed.
- The user can then fill in the appropriate parameters for the servovalve.
- For each diagram, with accompanying parameters, a Rosetta facet is created.
- The facet contains the parameter values and the mathematical relationships between them.



Rosetta Concepts

- A facet is a model representing one perspective of a system
 - One particular point of view
 - One particular abstraction level
- A domain is a semantic system for defining facets
 - A component is described by:
 - Defining and composing its various facets
 - Defining and composing its various subcomponents



Servovalve Facet Interface

 The facet interface defines design parameters (blue) and operational interface (red)



Servovalve Facet Local Definitions

 Local definitions provide internal items such as local variables (red) and function definitions (blue)



Servovalve Facet Terms

 Terms define the specification domain (red), functional properties (blue) and constraints (black)

begin continuous

//Nonlinear steady state valve equation.

F1: $Q/Q_{max}=U/U_{max} * ((1 - P/P_S) * sgn(U/U_{max}))^{0.5};$

//Flow, spool disp, diff pressure

//cannot exceed max

C1: $abs(Q) = < abs(Q_max);$

C2: abs(U) = < abs(U max);

C3: $abs(P) = < abs(P_S);$

end servovalve fcn;



Using Systems Design Tools – Actuator



The Piston Model



Piston Design Parameters



Piston Functional Model Interface

facet cyl_piston_fcn(

- Q_1,Q_2 :: real; //exhaust & inlet volume flow rate (in 3/sec)
- P_1,P_2 :: real; //exhaust & inlet pressure (lbs/in^2)
- Y :: real; //piston shaft linear displacement (in)
- D_c :: posReal; // cylinder internal diameter (in)
- A_p :: posReal; // piston area (in²)
- V_1_0, V_2_0 :: posReal; // exhaust & inlet side cylinder volumes for
 - //Y = 0 (in^3)
- Beta_v :: real; //viscous damping of piston (lbs-sec/in)
- F col :: real; // coloumb friction
- F_stk :: real; // "sticktion" force (lbs)
- M_p,M_L :: posReal; // Masses of piston and load (slugs)
- F_L :: real; // Load force (1bs)
- F_0 :: real; // Output force (lbs)

is

Piston Functional Model Declarations

use realTypes;

//internal vars

A_c :: posReal; //cylinder internal cross-sectional area (in²) V_1 :: nonNegReal; //exhaust-side cylinder volume (in³) V_2 :: nonNegReal; //Inlet-side cylinder volume (in³) V_p :: real is deriv(Y,t); //Piston velocity (in/sec) Q :: real is (Q_1+Q_2)/2; //average flow rate (in³/sec) P :: real is P_2 - P_1; //differential pressure eff_piston :: real is 0.99; //piston efficiency, assumed to be // 99%



Piston Functional Model Terms





Piston Power Model





Model Interaction

- Composing facets causes their associated system models to interact
- Model composition within the same component defines multiple, interacting component views
- Model composition between components provides structural representation



Complete Piston Model

- Facet composition causes model interaction
 - The new model is has the properties of both original models
 - Identically named parameters represent different views of the same quantity
- The complete piston model consists of a functional model and a power model

facet cyl_piston is cyl_piston_fcn and
cyl piston power;

Using Systems Design Tools – Actuator



The Actuator Interface

- Design parameters are propagated outward (red)
- Operational parameters are instantiated to connect components or propagated to the interface (green)

```
facet actuator (
    U::real; //spool displacement (in).
    Q_S::posReal; //source volume flow rate (in^3/sec)
    P_S::posReal; //source pressure (lbs/in^2)
    b::posReal; // land width (in)
    rho::posReal; // land width (in)
    rho::posReal; // discharge coefficient at each port
    Y :: real; //piston shaft linear displacement (in)
    D_c :: posReal; // cylinder internal diameter (in)
    A p :: posReal; // piston area (in^2)
```

The Actuator Interface (cont)





The Actuator Definition

- Facets are instantiated to define local components (blue)
- Shared internal variables define connections (red)
- Instantiating component parameters with facet parameters
 propagates parameters to the facet interface (black)
- The actuator power consumption is the sum of the component power consumption values (green)

Q_1,Q_2 :: posReal; //exhaust & inlet volume flow rate (in^3/sec) P_1,P_2 :: posReal; //exhaust & inlet pressure (lbs/in^2) actPower :: posReal; // actuator power consumption

Mechanical Experiment Case Studies

- Determine Rosetta feasibility in mechanical domains
 - **Experiment 1 Dual Spring**
 - Goal: End-to-end analysis of spring system using existing
 Rosetta tools
 - **Experiment 2 Actuator Redesign**
 - Goal: Simulated re-creation of real-life actuator redesign
 - problem

Dual Spring System

- Goal: End-to-end analysis of spring system using existing Rosetta tools
- Achievements:
 - Developed Rosetta design model of simple spring
 - Developed Rosetta structural model of dual spring system
 - Automatically transformed Rosetta models into MATLAB system representations
 - Used MATLAB model to support parametric design for specific operational parameters
- Status:
 - Parsing and automatic translation achieved
 - Functional MATLAB models produced for demonstration



Actuator Redesign

- Goal: Simulated re-creation of real-life actuator redesign problem
- Achievements:
 - Developed Rosetta design model of servovalve, cylinder and actuator
 - Developed power constraint and functional models
 - Hand translated Rosetta models into MATLAB system representations
 - Used interactions to represent constraint and functional model interaction
 - Used MATLAB model to demonstrate early detection of constraint violation
- Status:
 - Actuator problem analyzed and Rosetta models written
 - Generated interaction result between power and functional models
 - Analytically predicted power constraint violation based on MATLAB models



Reducing The Cost of Information

- By reducing the cost of information, the Rosetta methodology can deliver on the elusive promises of:
- Faster
 - Errors are avoided through early predictive analysis
 - Errors are discovered earlier in the system lifecycle
- Better
 - High level analysis supports better systems level design
 - Analysis utilizes interaction information otherwise unavailable
- Cheaper
 - Understanding system interaction supports faster systems integration
 - Discovering errors early reduces the cost of mitigation
 - Understanding the impacts of design changes across systems decreases the cost of component upgrade and replacement

POET Design Integration Architecture

Piria



Status (1)

- Rosetta is being developed under the auspices of the Systems Level Design Language (SLDL) committee of VHDL International
- Initial focus was for supporting the development of Systems on Chip (SoC) with funding from AFRL/IF
- SLDL requirements document and representative examples are available on the SLDL web site

http://www.intermetrics.com/sldl

- Initial definition of the language syntax, semantics and base domains is nearing completion
 - Version 0.4 of the Rosetta language definition and tools are available on the Rosetta web site:

http://www.ittc.ukans.edu/Projects/SLDG/Rosetta



Status (2)

Prototype tool development is commencing

- Version 0.4 of the Rosetta parser is available for download
- http://www.ittc.ukans.edu/Projects/SLDG/Rosetta
- Extractor to Matlab for analysis of mathematical models completed
- Other analysis and proof tools under development
- Second phase of AFRL/IF effort will include a series of
 - demonstrations of capability and benefit
 - An industrial prototype of SoC produced using Rosetta is planned in early 2001
 - Analysis of mechanical domain applicability, funded under a separate AFRL/ML contract, successfully completed

