



Office of Science



The Grid Architecture

William E. Johnston

Distributed Systems Department Computational Research Division Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory dsd.lbl.gov

What are the Core Grid Middleware Services?

- A common security model for Grid services and Grid applications provides uniform and versatile authentication, authorization, and privacy
 - Basis of the cyber-trust that enables collaboration among the many organizations of a large science project
 - Preserves local autonomy of resource owners
 - Correctly used, provides pretty good security
- Standardized access to computing systems and data storage systems
- Tools and services supporting construction and management of collaborations (virtual organizations)

What are the Core Grid Middleware Services

- Services for dynamic construction of execution environments supporting complex distributed applications
 - <u>locating and co-scheduling many resources</u> to support, e.g., transient and complex, science and engineering experiments that require combinations of instruments, compute systems, data archives, and network bandwidth at multiple locations
- Management of dynamic pools of underlying resources
 - Automatic resource registration and de-registration
 - Resource discovery
- Evolving to a Web Services / object oriented model of core services

SensorNet Architectural Considerations

- Web Services approach is very likely to be dominate mechanism for distributed applications interfaces
- Combining Grids with Web Services brings dynamic resource management to Web Services (major industry push lead by IBM)
- Grid Services are Web services with a few additional behavoirs (ports) defined to do service initiation (factory) and lifecycle management of transient, stateful (e.g. Grid) services – i.e. dynamically created services
- Global Grid Forum (www.ggf.org) international standards org. modeled on IETF (about 500 members from N. America, Europe, and Asia Pacific)

User Interfaces

Application Frameworks (e.g. XCAT, SciRun) and Portal Toolkits (e.g. XPortlets)

Applications (Simulations, Data Analysis, etc.)

Higher-level Services / OGSA (Data Grid Services, Workflow management, Visualization, Data Publication/Subscription, Brokering, Job Mg'mt, Fault Mg'mt, Grid System Admin., etc.)



NWGISS Top Level Architecture











Scalable Monitoring, Activation, and Publication Service

Brian Tierney Dan Gunter Distributed Systems Department Computational Research Division Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Basic GGF, Grid Monitoring Architecture

(evolved from our early work with monitors – a direct descendent of MAGIC Testbed technology)



The GMA Producer and Consumer Interfaces are Well Defined – An Important Feature of the Architecture (Allows construction of standardized services)



"A Grid Monitoring Architecture," B. Tierney, et al, Global Grid Forum Document. http://www-didc.lbl.gov/GGF-PERF/GMA-WG/

Scalable Monitoring, Activation, and Publication Service (SMAPS)

- We are generalizing the basic Grid Monitoring Architecture in several dimensions in order to address various aspects of scalability
 - Lots of producers
 - Lots of consumers
 - Lots of sensors, high data rates
 - Self organizing, self healing collections of all of these
 - Complex producer subscriptions

§ e.g. generalized queries and downloadable event filters and analysis modules

D. Gunter, B. Tierney, C. E. Tull, V. Virmani, "On-Demand Grid Application Tuning and Debugging with the NetLogger Activation Service," 4th International Workshop on Grid Computing (Grid2003)

SMAPS: Separation of Command and Data and GMA Cache

(scalability for number and operational modes of sensors)

- Separation of command and data allows for standard cmd. protocol (SOAP) and API (WSDL defined) and efficient data transport
- Division of functionality using the standardized service interfaces allows, e.g.
 - load reduction for consumer
 - light-weight producers
 - S move heavy weight functions to a GMA cache system – e.g. filtering and compression for satellite up-link
 - S this gives light-weight producers, only managing sensors, providing standard messaging, subscription, etc. – more suitable for field / mobile units

Status: operational (skeletal registry)



SMAPS: Sensor Activation (scalable management of sensor data)

Do not want all monitoring registry data collected all the time Potentially too much data consumer Allow for adjusting the level of monitoring as needed for: § operation consumer / s debugging producer interface sensor control § performance tuning **GMA** cache (activate and (aggregate the monitor events to reduce s error analysis subscribe) overhead on the consumer - e.g. the database of an archive service Add a standard sensor consumer interface control channel and requested sensor "manager" function behavior subscribe manager may be combined with producer producer manager producer manager sense sensor sense sensor Status: operational sense sense 13

SMAPS: Security and Group Management



SMAPS: Autonomic (self-healing) and Self Organizing Sensor Nets

- Using Peer-to-Peer services provides <u>scalable discovery</u> of all available registries containing specific data types
- Combining P2P with reliable multicast provides:
 - P2P with security
 - Very rapid location of any available rendezvous point
 - § e.g. sensors can select among any available, compatible producers
 ⇒ self-organization (e.g. sensors that are isolated from original producer can rapidly locate any suitable producer and reconnect to it
 - § if all original producers are lost, then setting up an authorized producer on any system on the remaining network will reestablish a rendezvous point, and the sensors will automatically start reconnecting
 - § Since producers can serve as a light-weight registry
 - resource scalability as servers get loaded, just add more the rendezvous protocol could select a target for new sensors based on load

Status: experiments be conducted and design options considered. Collaboration with Wolfgang Hoschek and Karlo Berket