

Electric Flux Density

Yikes! Things have gotten **complicated!**

In free space, we found that charge $\rho_v(\bar{r})$ creates an electric field $\mathbf{E}(\bar{r})$.

Pretty **simple!** $\rho_v(\bar{r}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}(\bar{r})$

But, if dielectric material is present, we find that charge $\rho_v(\bar{r})$ creates an **initial** electric field $\mathbf{E}_i(\bar{r})$. This electric field in turn **polarizes** the material, forming bound charge $\rho_{vp}(\bar{r})$. This bound charge, however, then creates its **own** electric field $\mathbf{E}_s(\bar{r})$ (sometimes called a **secondary** field), which modifies the initial electric field!

Not so simple! $\rho_v(\bar{r}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}_i(\bar{r}) \longrightarrow \rho_{vp}(\bar{r}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}_s(\bar{r})$

The **total** electric field created by free charge when dielectric material is present is thus $\mathbf{E}(\bar{r}) = \mathbf{E}_i(\bar{r}) + \mathbf{E}_s(\bar{r})$.

Q: *Isn't there some **easier** way to account for the effect of dielectric material??*

A: Yes there is! We use the concept of dielectric **permittivity**, and a new vector field called the **electric flux density** $\mathbf{D}(\bar{r})$.

To see how this works, first consider the point form of **Gauss's Law**:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) = \frac{\rho_{vT}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})}{\epsilon_0}$$

where $\rho_{vT}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$ is the **total** charge density, consisting of both the **free** charge density $\rho_v(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$ and **bound** charge density $\rho_{vp}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$:

$$\rho_{vT}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) = \rho_v(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) + \rho_{vp}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$$

Therefore, we can write Gauss's Law as:

$$\epsilon_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) = \rho_v(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) + \rho_{vp}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$$

Recall the **bound** charge density is equal to:

$$\rho_{vp}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$$

Inserting into the above equation:

$$\epsilon_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) = \rho_v(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$$

And rearranging:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) &= \rho_v(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) \\ \nabla \cdot [\epsilon_0 \mathbf{E}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) + \mathbf{P}(\bar{\mathbf{r}})] &= \rho_v(\bar{\mathbf{r}})\end{aligned}$$

Note this final result says that the divergence of vector field $\epsilon_0 \mathbf{E}(\bar{r}) + \mathbf{P}(\bar{r})$ is equal to the **free** charge density $\rho_v(\bar{r})$. Let's define this vector field the **electric flux density** $\mathbf{D}(\bar{r})$:

$$\text{electric flux density } \mathbf{D}(\bar{r}) \doteq \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E}(\bar{r}) + \mathbf{P}(\bar{r}) \quad \left[\frac{\text{C}}{\text{m}^2} \right]$$

Therefore, we can write a **new** form of Gauss's Law:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}(\bar{r}) = \rho_v(\bar{r})$$

This equation says that the electric flux density $\mathbf{D}(\bar{r})$ **diverges** from **free** charge $\rho_v(\bar{r})$. In other words, the source of electric flux density is free charge $\rho_v(\bar{r})$ --and free charge **only**!

- * The electric field $\mathbf{E}(\bar{r})$ is created by **both** free charge and bound charge within the dielectric material.
- * However, the electric flux density $\mathbf{D}(\bar{r})$ is created by **free charge only**—the bound charge within the dielectric material makes no difference with regard to $\mathbf{D}(\bar{r})$!

But wait! We can simplify this further. Recall that the polarization vector is related to electric field by susceptibility $\chi_e(\bar{r})$:

$$\mathbf{P}(\bar{r}) = \varepsilon_0 \chi_e(\bar{r}) \mathbf{E}(\bar{r})$$

Therefore the electric flux density is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(\bar{r}) &= \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E}(\bar{r}) + \varepsilon_0 \chi_e(\bar{r}) \mathbf{E}(\bar{r}) \\ &= \varepsilon_0 (1 + \chi_e(\bar{r})) \mathbf{E}(\bar{r}) \end{aligned}$$

We can further simplify this by defining the **permittivity** of the medium (the dielectric material):

$$\text{permittivity } \varepsilon(\bar{r}) \doteq \varepsilon_0 (1 + \chi_e(\bar{r}))$$

And can further define **relative** permittivity:

$$\text{relative permittivity } \varepsilon_r(\bar{r}) \doteq \frac{\varepsilon(\bar{r})}{\varepsilon_0} = 1 + \chi_e(\bar{r})$$

Note therefore that $\varepsilon(\bar{r}) = \varepsilon_r(\bar{r}) \varepsilon_0$.

We can thus write a **simple** relationship between electric flux density and electric field:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}(\bar{r}) &= \varepsilon(\bar{r})\mathbf{E}(\bar{r}) \\ &= \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r(\bar{r})\mathbf{E}(\bar{r})\end{aligned}$$

Like conductivity $\sigma(\bar{r})$, permittivity $\varepsilon(\bar{r})$ is a fundamental **material** parameter. Also like conductivity, it relates the electric field to another vector field.

Thus, we have an **alternative** way to view electrostatics:

1. Free charge $\rho_v(\bar{r})$ creates electric flux density $\mathbf{D}(\bar{r})$.
2. The electric field can be then determined by simply dividing $\mathbf{D}(\bar{r})$ by the material permittivity $\varepsilon(\bar{r})$ (i.e., $\mathbf{E}(\bar{r}) = \mathbf{D}(\bar{r})/\varepsilon(\bar{r})$).

$$\rho_v(\bar{r}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(\bar{r}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}(\bar{r})$$