## Poisson's and Laplace's Equation

We know that for the case of static fields, Maxwell's Equations reduces to the electrostatic equations:

$$\nabla x \boldsymbol{E}\left(\overline{r}\right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E}\left(\overline{r}\right) = \frac{\rho_{\nu}\left(\overline{r}\right)}{\varepsilon_{0}}$$

We can alternatively write these equations in terms of the electric potential field  $V(\overline{r})$ , using the relationship

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla V(\mathbf{r})$$
:

$$-\nabla x \nabla V(\overline{r}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -\nabla \cdot \nabla V(\overline{r}) = \frac{\rho_{\nu}(\overline{r})}{\varepsilon_{0}}$$

Let's examine the **first** of these equations. Recall that we determined in Chapter 2 that:

$$\nabla x \nabla g(\overline{r}) = 0$$

for **any** and **all** scalar functions  $g(\bar{r})$ . In other words the first equation is simply a **mathematical identity**—it says **nothing** physically about the electric potential field  $V(\bar{r})$ !

The **second** equation includes the operation  $\nabla \cdot \nabla$ . We recall from Chapter 2 that this operation is called the scalar **Laplacian**:

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla = \nabla^2$$

Therefore, we can write the relationship between charge density and the electric potential field in terms of one equation!

$$\nabla^2 V(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = -\frac{\rho_{\nu}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})}{\varepsilon_0}$$

This equation is known as **Poisson's Equation**, and is essentially the "Maxwell's Equation" of the electric potential field  $V(\overline{r})$ .

Note that for points where **no charge** exist, Poisson's equation becomes:

$$\nabla^2 V(\overline{r}) = 0$$

This equation is know as Laplace's Equation. Although it looks very simple, most scalar functions will not satisfy Laplace's Equation! Only a special class of scalar fields, called analytic functions will satisfy Laplace's equation.