The Inductance of a Coaxial Transmission Line

Recall that we earlier determined the capacitance (per unit length) of a coaxial transmission line to be:

$$\frac{C}{\ell} = \frac{2\pi \,\epsilon}{\ln \lceil b/a \rceil} \qquad \left[\frac{\text{farads}}{\text{meter}} \right]$$

We can likewise determine its inductance per unit length.

Q: Yikes! How do we accomplish this? There are no loops in a coaxial line!

A: True. We instead begin by determining the energy stored (per unit length) of a coax line.

Recall that the magnetic flux density **between** the inner and outer conductors of a coaxial line is:

$$\mathbf{B}(\overline{r}) = \frac{\mu I}{2\pi\rho} \, \hat{a}_{\phi} \quad (\mathbf{a} < \rho < \mathbf{b})$$

Therefore the magnetic field within the line is:

$$\mathbf{H}(\overline{r}) = \frac{I}{2\pi\rho} \, \hat{a}_{\phi} \quad (\mathbf{a} < \rho < \mathbf{b})$$

The energy stored in a length ℓ of the coax line is therefore:

$$W_{m} = \frac{1}{2} \iiint \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{H} \, dv$$

$$= \frac{\mu I^{2}}{8\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\ell} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \, \hat{a}_{\phi} \cdot \hat{a}_{\phi} \, \rho \, d\rho \, d\phi \, dz$$

$$= \frac{\mu I^{2} \ell}{4\pi} \ln \left[\frac{b}{a} \right]$$

Q: So what? We want to find the inductance of the line, not the energy stored in it!

A: True. But recall inductance is related to stored energy as:

$$W_m = \frac{1}{2}LI^2$$

Or in other words:

$$L=\frac{2W_m}{I^2}$$

Using this expression, we find:

$$L = \frac{2}{I^2} \left(\frac{\mu I^2 \ell}{4\pi} \ln \left[\frac{b}{a} \right] \right)$$
$$= \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \ln \left[\frac{b}{a} \right] \ell$$

Or, in other words, the inductance per unit length of a coax transmission line is:

$$\frac{L}{\ell} = \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \ln \left[\frac{b}{a} \right] \qquad \left[\frac{\text{Henries}}{m} \right]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\text{Henries}}{m} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note here that we did not consider the magnetic fields within the conductors. For most engineering applications (i.e., timevarying), we will find that the contribution of these fields are small and thus can be neglected.

Jim Stiles The Univ. of Kansas Dept. of EECS