<u>Transcendental Solutions</u> of Junction Diode Circuits

In a previous example, we were able to use the junction diode equation to **algebraically** analyze a circuit and find **numeric** solutions for all circuit currents and voltages.

However, we will find that this type of circuit analysis is, in general, often **impossible** to achieve using the junction diode equation!



Q: Impossible !?! I must intercede, and point out that you are clearly wrong. If I have an explicit mathematical description of each device in a circuit (which I do for a junction diode), I can use KVL and KCL to analyze any circuit.

A: Although we can always determine a numerical solution, it is often impossible to find this solution **algebraically**. Consider this **simple** junction diode circuit:



From KVL:

 $i_{D} = I_{s} \left(e^{v_{D}/nV_{T}} - 1 \right)$

Likewise, from the junction diode equation:

Equating these two, we have a single equation with a single unknown (v_D):

 $\frac{V_{s}-V_{D}}{R}=I_{s}\left(e^{\frac{V_{D}}{NV_{T}}}-1\right)$

Q: Precisely! Just as **I** said! You have 1 equation with 1 unknown. Go solve this equation for v_D , and then you can determine all other unknown voltages and currents (i.e., i_D and v_R). Gosh, is there any problem that **I** cannot solve?

A: But that's the problem! What is the algebraic solution of v_D for the equation:

 $\frac{V_{s}-V_{D}}{R}=I_{s}\left(e^{\frac{V_{D}}{nV_{T}}}-1\right)$

????

Jim Stiles

The Univ. of Kansas

Dept. of EECS

The above equation is known as a **transcendental equation**. It is an algebraic expression for which there is **no** algebraic solution!

Examples of transcendental equations include:

$$x = cos[x], y^2 = ln[y], \text{ or } 4 - x = 2^x$$

Q: But, we could build that simple junction diode circuit in the lab. Therefore v_D , i_D and v_R must have **some** numeric value, right !?!

A: Absolutely! For every value of source voltage V_s , resistance R, and junction diode parameters n and I_s , there is a specific numerical solution for v_D , i_D and v_R . However, we cannot find this numerical solution with algebraic methods!

Q: Well then how the heck do we find solution??

A: We use what is know as numerical methods, often implementing some iterative approach, typically with the help of a computer (see example 3.4 on pp. 154-155).

This generally involves **more work** than we wish to do when analyzing junction diode circuits!

Q: So just how **do** we analyze junction diode circuits??

A: We replace the junction diodes with **circuit models** that **approximate** junction diode behavior!



Q: Oh you're tricky, but you are still clearly **wrong** (thus **I** am clearly right). Recall in an **earlier example** we analyzed a junction diode circuit, but we did **not** use "approximate models" nor "numerical methods" to find the answer!

A: This is absolutely correct; we did **not** use approximate models or numerical methods to solve that problem. However, if you look back at that example, you will find that the problem was a bit **contrived**.

* Recall that effectively, we were **given** the voltage across one diode as part of the problem statement. We were then asked to find the **source voltage** V_s.

* This was a bit of an academic problem, as in the "real world" it is unlikely that we would somehow know the voltage across the diode without knowing the value of the voltage source that produced it!



* Thus, problems like this previous example are sometimes used by professors to create junction diode circuit problems that are solvable, without encountering a dreaded transcendental equation!

* In the real world, we typically know **neither** the diode voltage **nor** the diode current directly—transcendental equations are most often the **sad** result!

* Instead of applying numerical techniques, we will find it much faster (albeit slightly less accurate) to apply approximate circuit models.

I wish I had a **nickel** for every time my software has **crashed**-Oh wait, **I do**!