4-2 Maxwell's Equations for Electrostatics

Reading Assignment: pp. 88-90

Q:

A:

HO: The Electrostatic Equations

HO: The Integral Form of Electrostatics

Jim Stiles The Univ. of Kansas Dept. of EECS

The Electrostatic Equations

If we consider the **static** case (i.e., constant with time) of Maxwell's Equations, we find that the **time derivatives** of the electric field and magnetic flux density are **zero**:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}, t)}{\partial t} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}, t)}{\partial t} = 0$$

Thus, Maxwell's equations for static fields become:

$$\nabla x \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = \frac{\rho_{\nu}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})}{\varepsilon_{0}}$$

$$\nabla x \mathbf{B}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = 0$$

Look at what has happened! For the static case (but **just** for the static case!), Maxwell's equations "decouple" into two independent sets of two equations.

The first set involves electric field $\mathbf{E}(\bar{r})$ and charge density $\rho_{\nu}(\bar{r})$ only. These are called the **electrostatic equations** in free-space:

$$\nabla x \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = \frac{\rho_{\nu}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})}{\varepsilon_{0}}$$

These are the **electrostatic equations** for free space (i.e., a vacuum).

Note that the **static** electric field is a **conservative** vector field (do you see why?)!

This of course means that everything we know about a conservative field is true also for the static field $E(\overline{r})$.

Essentially, this is what the electrostatic equations tell us:

- 1) The static electric field is conservative.
- 2) The source of the static field is charge:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = \frac{\rho_{\nu}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})}{\varepsilon_{0}}$$

In other words, the static electric field $\mathbf{E}(\overline{r})$ diverges from (or converges to) charge!

Chapters 4, 5, and 6 deal only with electrostatics (i.e., static electric fields produced by static charge densities).

In chapters 7, 8, and 9, we will study magnetostatics, which considers the other set of static differential equations:

$$\nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{B}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = \mathbf{0}$$

These equations are called the **magnetostatic equations** in free-space, and relate the static **magnetic flux density B** (\overline{r}) to the static **current density J** (\overline{r}) .

Jim Stiles The Univ. of Kansas Dept. of EECS

The Integral Form of Electrostatics

We know from the **static** form of Maxwell's equations that the vector field $\nabla x \mathbf{E}(\bar{r})$ is zero at every point \bar{r} in space (i.e., $\nabla x \mathbf{E}(\bar{r})$ =0). Therefore, **any** surface integral involving the vector field $\nabla x \mathbf{E}(\bar{r})$ will likewise be zero:

$$\iint_{S} \nabla x \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot \overline{ds} = 0$$

But, using Stokes' Theorem, we can also write:

$$\iint_{S} \nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot \overline{ds} = \oint_{C} \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot \overline{d\ell} = 0$$

Therefore, the equation:

$$\oint_{C} \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot \overline{d\ell} = 0$$

is the integral form of the equation:

$$\nabla x \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = \mathbf{0}$$

Of course, both equations just indicate that the static electric field $\mathbf{E}(\overline{r})$ is a conservative field!

Likewise, we can take a volume integral over both sides of the electrostatic equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = \rho_{\nu}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})/\varepsilon_0$:

$$\iiint_{V} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) \, dv = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}} \iiint_{V} \rho_{v}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) dv$$

But wait! The left side can be rewritten using the **Divergence**Theorem:

$$\iiint_{V} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) \, dv = \oiint_{S} \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot \overline{ds}$$

And, we know that the volume integral of the charge density is equal to the charge enclosed in volume V:

$$\iiint\limits_{V}\rho_{v}\left(\overline{\mathbf{r}}\right)dv=Q_{enc}$$

Therefore, we can write an equation known as Gauss's Law:

$$\iint_{S} \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot \overline{ds} = \frac{Q_{enc}}{\varepsilon_{0}}$$
Gauss's Law

This is the integral form of the equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\overline{r}) = \rho_{\nu}(\overline{r})/\varepsilon_{0}$.

What Gauss's Law says is that we can determine the total amount of charge enclosed within some volume V by simply integrating the electric field on the surface S surrounding volume V.

Summarizing, the integral form of the electrostatic equations are:

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{E}(\overline{r}) \cdot \overline{d\ell} = 0 \qquad \qquad \oiint_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{E}(\overline{r}) \cdot \overline{ds} = \frac{Q}{\varepsilon_0}$$

Note that these equations do not amend or extend what we already know about the static electric field, but are simply an alternative way of expressing the point form of the electrostatic equations:

$$\nabla x \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = \frac{\rho_{\nu}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})}{\varepsilon_{0}}$$

We sometimes use the point form of the electrostatic equations, and we sometimes use the integral form—it all depends on which form is more applicable to the problem we are attempting to solve!

Jim Stiles The Univ. of Kansas Dept. of EECS