E. Circulators and Isolators

Q: You made a big deal about **linear** devices and **passive** devices and **reciprocal** devices, but we have yet to discuss even one microwave device that is **all** of those things. Are Circulators and Isolators **all** of those things?

A: Nope. They are linear, and they are passive, but they are not reciprocal. It is their non-reciprocal nature that makes them useful!

HO: The Circulator

HO: The Isolator

<u>Circulators</u>

A circulator is a matched, lossless but **non-reciprocal** 3-port device, whose scattering matrix is **ideally**:



Circulators use anisotropic **ferrite** materials, which are often "biased" by a permanent magnet! -> The result is a **nonreciprocal** device!

First, we note that for a circulator, the power incident on port 1 will exit **completely** from port 2:

 $P_2^- = P_1^+$

Pardon me while I sarcastically **yawn**. This **unremarkable** behavior is likewise true for the simple circuit below, which requires just a length of **transmission line**. Oh please, continue to waste our valuable time.



True! But a transmission line, being a **reciprocal** device, will likewise result in the power **incident** on **port 2** of your simple circuit to **exit** completely from **port 1** $(P_1^- = P_2^+)$:



But, this is **not** true for a circulator! If power is incident on port 2, then **no power** will exit port 1!



A: It will exit from port 3!

Likewise, power flowing into port 3 will exit-port 1!

It is evident, then how the circulator gets its **name**: power appears to **circulate** around the device, a behavior that is emphasized by its device **symbol**:



We can see that, for example, a **source** at port **2** "thinks" it is attached to a **load** at port **3**, while a **load** at port **2** "thinks" it is attached to a **source** at port **1**!

This behavior is useful when we want to use **one** antenna as **both** the transmitter and receiver antenna. The transmit antenna (i.e., the load) at port 2 **gets** its power from the transmitter at **port 1**. However, the receive antenna (i.e., the source) at port 2 **delivers** its power to the receiver at **port 3**!





An **isolator** is simply a **circulator**, with port 3 terminated in a **matched** load!



The matched load at port 3 insures that $P_3^+ = 0$ always. As a result we know that $P_1^- = P_3^+ = 0$ —always!

An ideal isolator is thus a **two-port** device with an **odd** looking scattering matrix:

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, $P_2^- = P_1^+$, but $P_1^- = 0$ regardless of P_2^+ --an ideal isolator is matched, but is also non-reciprocal and lossy!

An isolator is useful for isolating a load from a source. For

example, consider an unmatched load at the end of a transmission line: P_{inc} $\overleftarrow{P_{ref}} = \left|\Gamma_L\right|^2 P_{inc} \qquad \underbrace{}_{L} = 0$ **Plenty** of power is reflected back toward the source! Now, let's insert an isolator between the source and load: $\left| \Gamma_L \right|^2 P_{inc} \stackrel{\text{left}}{=} \Gamma_L \neq 0$ $P_{ref} = 0$ There is **no power** reflected back to the source! Instead, power reflected by the load is **absorbed** by the isolator. To the source, the circuit appears **matched**—but its **not**! If the isolator was truly a matching network, then the absence of reflected power would indicate that **all** the incident power was absorbed by the load. Instead, there is no reflected power because this power is instead absorbed by the isolator—the isolator is lossy!

Q: So what **good** is this device? Why would we care if the device "looks" like a matched load if in fact the power is **not** efficiently delivered to it?

A: There are many devices whose "behavior" is dependent on the impedance of the devices attached to it. Devises are designed (typically) to provide optimal performance when they are attached to other matched devices. If they not, then (in addition to inefficient power transfer) the performance of the device may be degraded.

For example, consider the problem of **frequency pulling** with regard to a microwave oscillator. Recall that a **mismatched** load will cause the **frequency** of the signal to **shift** from its ideal value ω_0 .

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\$$

 $v_s(t) = A_s \cos \omega_0 t$

If we place an **isolator** between the source and the load, we can **fix this problem**!

 $\mathbf{\nabla}$

 $\Gamma_L \neq \mathbf{0}$

But remember, the power delivered to this mismatched load is **less** than that available at the source!

Q: So why wouldn't we use a lossless **matching network** instead of an isolator? With a matching network we eliminate frequency pulling **and** provide maximum power transfer to the load.

A: Making a good wideband matching network is more difficult than you might imagine, particularly when the load you're matching to is badly mismatched and changes significantly as a function of frequency (i.e., the LO port of a mixer).

It turns out that an **isolator** will almost certainly provide a better "match" over a **wider** frequency bandwidth.

Q: In an earlier handout you said that we could isolate an oscillator with an **amplifier**. How does that work?



A: Remember the scattering matrix for an ideal amplifier:

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{amp} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{21} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix} \quad where \quad |\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{21}| \gg 1$

Compare this to the scattering matrix for an **isolator**:

 $\mathcal{S}_{iso} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Note the amplifier has essentially the same form ($S_{12} = 0$) as an isolator, and so it can perform the same isolation function!

"Back in the day", microwave amplifiers were **large and expensive**, and so they **rarely** would be used **simply** for providing isolation.

But, with the advent of **MMIC** (monolithic microwave integrated circuits) technology, the price and size of microwave amplifiers have **dramatically** been reduced, such that they now are **commonly** used to provide isolation—particularly around the poorly matched ports of a microwave **mixer**!