## B. Spherical Wave Propagation

Every antenna launches a spherical wave, thus its power density reduces as a function of $1 / r^{2}$, where $r$ is the distance from the antenna.

We can determine the power radiated by an antenna if we know the power density of the propagating spherical wave it produces.

## HO: Total Radiated Power

To describe how an antenna distributes radiated power, we first need to understand the concept of a solid anglemeasured in units of steradians.

## HO: The Steradian

We find that an antenna never radiates power equally in all directions. Instead, it radiates power more in some directions and less in others. The mathematical description of this distribution is called radiation intensity.

HO: Radiation Intensity

## Total Radiated Power

So, we know that an antenna (located at the origin) will produce a radiated power density of the form:

$$
\mathbf{W}(\bar{r})=U(\theta, \phi) \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}}{r^{2}}
$$

Q: But this is the power density-a function of spatial position (i.e., a function of $r, \theta, \phi$ ). Is there any way to determine the total power radiated by an antenna?

A: The power density function gives us all we need to know to determine the power radiated by an antenna $\left(P_{\text {rad }}\right)$.

To see why, first consider some aperture (i.e., window) defined by surface area S. Say that at some (perhaps large) distance away from this surface, there exists a radiating antenna.

This radiating antenna produces a propagating

11electromagnetic wave at all points throughout the entire universe!

The entire universe includes our aperture (surface 5 ) and thus there must be electromagnetic energy propagating through this aperture (i.e., from one side of surface $S$ to the other).

Q: But an electromagnetic wave contains energy, and thus energy must be passing through this aperture. Can we determine the rate of this energy flow through surface S?

A: No problem! If we know the power density $\mathbf{W}(\bar{r})$, we can always determine the power flowing through some aperture $S$ using a surface integration:

$$
P=\iint_{s} W(\bar{r}) \cdot \overline{d s}
$$

Hopefully this makes sense to you! We simply integrate the power density (in $W / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) flowing through the surface $S$ (in $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) to determine the rate of energy flow (in Watts) through the entire surface $S$.

Q: So the value $P$ is the power radiated by the antenna?

A: Absolutely not! Although the power $P$ does depend on the power density produced by the antenna, it also depends on the location, orientation, and size of aperture $S$.

For example, if the aperture size approaches zero, the power Pflowing through the aperture likewise approaches zero. This of course does not mean that the power radiated by the antennas is zero-it is likely very large.


However, there are surfaces $S$ were the surface integration does tell us precisely the radiated power!

Consider now a closed surface-one that completely surrounds the radiating antenna.

It turns out that integrating the power density across this closed surface will tell us the power radiated by the antenna:

$$
P_{\mathrm{rad}}=\oiint_{s} \mathbf{W}(\bar{r}) \cdot \overline{d s}
$$

Q: Yikes, why does this work? What's so special about a closed surface?

A: Essentially, this works because of conservation of energy.

Remember, an antenna propagates electromagnetic energy outward from the antenna. This energy flows in all possible directions (although not uniformly in all directions!).

If we integrate the power density across a surface that completely surrounds the antenna, then we are "capturing" the energy flowing outward in all possible directions.

## $\rightarrow$ There are no "holes" in a closed surface!

Our answer thus describes the total power flowing outward from the antenna. By conservation of energy, this must be equal to the power being radiated by the antenna!

There is one important caveat to this statement. The volume surrounded by closed surface $S$ must be lossless. If there is lossy material in this volume, then some of the radiated power will be absorbed by this material, and thus will not propagate through closed surface $S$. In this case we will find:

$$
P_{\text {rad }}>\oiint_{s} \mathrm{~W}(\bar{r}) \cdot \overline{d s} \quad \text { if volume is lossy }
$$

But, we will assume that the volume is not lossy, that it is essentially free-space (e.g., a clear atmosphere).

Q: But what should the closed surface $S$ be? Doesn't the integration depend on the size/shape of closed surface S?

A: Although this would seem to be the case, it is in fact not. Any and all closed surfaces that surround the antenna will in fact provide the same answer for the surface integration.
$\rightarrow$ After all, there is only one correct value of $\rho_{\text {rad }}$ !

Q: Since it doesn't matter, can I just choose any closed surface $S$ that surrounds the antenna.

A: Theoretically yes, but being efficient (i.e., lazy) engineers, we might choose a surface $S$ that makes the surface integration as easy as possible.

This closed surface is simply a sphere, centered at the origin (i.e., centered at the antenna location). To see how this simplifies things, consider a spherical surface $S$, with radius $a$.

This surface is thus described as:

$$
r=a \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi
$$

and $\overline{d s}=\hat{\mathbf{r}} r^{2} d \theta d \phi$.

Given that the radiated power density has the form:

$$
\mathbf{W}(\bar{r})=U(\theta, \phi) \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r^{2}}
$$

we find that the surface integral is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\oiint_{S} W(\bar{r}) \cdot \overline{d s} & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} U(\theta, \phi) \frac{1}{r^{2}} \hat{r} \cdot \hat{r} r^{2} d \theta d \phi \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} U(\theta, \phi) d \theta d \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we find that we can always determine the radiated power by integrating over the radiation intensity function produced by the antenna:

$$
P_{\mathrm{rad}}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} U(\theta, \phi) d \theta d \phi
$$

## The Steradian

Q: What the heck is a steradian?
A: First, let's examine what a radian is!
Recall for a circle (a two-dimensional object), we find:


$$
\ell=\phi r
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell=\text { arc length }[\mathrm{m}] \\
& \phi=\text { angle [radians }] \\
& r=\text { radius }[\mathrm{m}]
\end{aligned}
$$

An arc length that entirely surrounds the circle would be the circle's circumference ( $C$ ). The angle $\phi$ that subtends this arc length is of course be $2 \pi$, and inserting these values into the equation above gives:

$$
C=2 \pi r
$$

Look familiar?


Q: But wait! A circle is a twodimensional structure, yet we (along with our antennas) live in a three-dimensional world.

A: True enough! The 3-dimensional equivalent of a circle is a sphere.

Consider a small patch on the surface of the sphere, with surface area $A$.

Each patch is subtended by a cone, whose point begins at the center of the sphere.

The larger the surface area of the patch, the larger the cone.

We say this cone forms a solid angle $\Omega$, and the size of this cone is expressed in Steradians!

Q: How do we determine the size of a solid angle in steradians?

A: The size $\Omega$ of a solid angle that subtends a section of surface area on a sphere with radius $r$ is:

$$
\Omega=\frac{A}{r^{2}}
$$

where $A$ the area of the subtended surface (i.e., the area of the "patch") and $\Omega$ is expressed in steradians.


Be careful! The units of $A$ and $r$ must be the same!
For example if $A=100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ then $r$ must be expressed in meters. If $r=5$ kilometers then $A$ must be expressed in $\mathrm{km}^{2}$.

Now, we can rewrite the above equation into its more common form:

$$
A=\Omega r^{2}
$$

Note that neither the shape of the subtended patch of surface, nor the shape of the resulting solid angle, matters in the above relationship.

In other words the subtended patch could be a circle, triangle, square, or any other shape. The result above would be the same!


Therefore, if $A_{1}=A_{2}=A_{3}$, then $\Omega_{1}=\Omega_{2}=\Omega_{3}$. Even though they have different shapes, they have precisely the same size!

Q: What if the solid angle gets so large that it subtends the entire surface of the sphere? What is the size of the solid angle then???

A: Recall the surface of an entire sphere has area:

$$
A=4 \pi r^{2}
$$

We likewise know that:

$$
A=\Omega r^{2}
$$

Equating these two, we find that a solid angle that subtends the entire surface of a sphere must have a size of $4 \pi$ steradians!

## Thus, we conclude that a planar angle of $2 \pi$ radians subtends the entire circumference of a circle, but a solid angle of $4 \pi$ steradians subtends the entire surface of a sphere.

Note this means that a solid angle of $2 \pi$ steradians subtends the surface of a hemisphere.

## Radiation Intensity

We found that the power density of a spherical wave produced by an antenna located at the origin has the form:

$$
\mathbf{W}(r, \theta, \phi)=U(\theta, \phi) \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r^{2}}
$$

and that the total radiated power from an antenna located at the origin is:

$$
P_{\text {rad }}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} U(\theta, \phi) \sin \theta d \theta d \phi
$$

Q: This "radiation intensity" $U(\theta, \phi)$ seems to be very important. What does it indicate? Does it have any physical meaning?

A: It turns out that an antenna does not (in fact, it cannot) radiate power uniformly in all directions. Rather, an antenna distributes power unequally-more power in some directions and less power in others.

The radiation intensity $U(\theta, \phi)$ describes this unequal distribution of power-it tells how the radiated power is distributed as a function of radiation direction.

Q: What are the units of the radiation intensity function?

A: Radiation intensity is expressed in units ofWatts/steradian!

To see why, consider the (impossible) case where an antenna does distribute radiated power equally in all directions. We call this isotropic radiation.

The intensity in this case is thus a constant:

$$
U(\theta, \phi)=U_{0}
$$

Although this isotropic intensity function is physically impossible, it will help illustrate the physical meaning of intensity.

We find that the radiated power for this case is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\text {rad }} & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} U(\theta, \phi) \sin \theta d \theta d \phi \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} U_{0} \sin \theta d \theta d \phi \\
& =U_{0} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin \theta d \theta d \phi \\
& =U_{0}(2 \pi)(2) \\
& =4 \pi U_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can rearrange this result to determine that the intensity produced by an isotropic radiator is:


Q: What's up with that $4 \pi$ in the denominator? Does it have any significance?

A: Absolutely! Look again at the units of intensityWatts/Steradian. Compare this to the expression for isotropic radiation intensity:


In other words, the antenna radiates $P_{\text {rad }}$ Watts uniformly throughout a solid angle of $4 \pi$ steradians.

Q: $4 \pi$ steradians! Isn't that the size of a solid angle that subtends an entire sphere?

A: You bet! $4 \pi$ steradians is the largest possible solid angle, one that includes all possible directions $\theta$ and $\phi$.

Now, say that an antenna radiates all its power uniformly throughout a one hemisphere (and thus no power into the other hemisphere).

The radiation intensity in one hemisphere (with a solid angle of $2 \pi$ steradians) is therefore $P_{\text {rad }} / 2 \pi$, and zero in the other:

$$
D(\theta, \phi)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{P_{\text {rad }}}{2 \pi} \text { in one hemisphere } \\
0 \text { in the other hemisphere }
\end{array}[W / \text { strd }]\right.
$$

Note that the antenna in this case places all its radiated power in a solid angle half the size of the isotropic case. As a result, the intensity in the solid angle is twice as large as the isotropic case!

Or, if an antenna radiates all its power uniformly throughout a solid angle of $\Omega$ steradians, the radiation intensity within this solid angle will be $P_{\text {rad }} / \Omega$, and zero outside the solid angle:


Note that as the solid angle gets smaller, the intensity will increase (assuming $P_{\text {rad }}$ remains unchanged). As the antenna "focuses" its power into a smaller and smaller cone, the intensity in that cone will get larger and larger.


> This is somewhat(?) analogous to compressing a fixed amount of gas into a smaller and smaller volume-the pressure within the volume will get more intense!

These are simple examples to illustrate the meaning of radiation intensity $U(\theta, \phi)$. However, we find that the radiation intensity of real antennas will be continuous functions of $\theta$ and $\phi$. For example:

$$
D(\theta, \phi)=10.0 \cos ^{2} \theta \sin \phi
$$

Q: I'm a bit confused. What's the difference between radiation intensity $U(\theta, \phi)$ and power density $\mathbf{W}(\bar{r})$ ?

A: Recall the two are related by the expression:

$$
\mathbf{W}(r, \theta, \phi)=U(\theta, \phi) \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r^{2}}
$$

From this expression we note these differences:

1. Radiation Intensity $U(\theta, \phi)$ is a scalar quantity, while power density $\mathbf{W}(\bar{r})$ is a vector quantity.
2. Radiation Intensity $U(\theta, \phi)$ is a function of coordinates $\theta$ and $\phi$ only, while power density $\mathbf{W}(\bar{r})$ is a function of all three spherical coordinates $r, \theta, \phi$.

From these observations we can conclude:

Radiation Intensity $U(\theta, \phi)$ is a description of how antenna (located at $r=0$ ) behaves-how it distributes energy across different directions defined by coordinates $\theta$ and $\phi$.

Power density $\mathbf{W}(\bar{r})$ is a description of the propagating (spherical) electromagnetic wave created by the antenna. I $\dagger$ is defined at all points in the universe-we can determine the magnitude and direction of the power density at any location in space-a location denoted by coordinates $r, \theta, \phi$.

Finally, let's again consider the mythical isotropic radiator. We know that the intensity of such a radiator will be uniform across all directions:

$$
U(\theta, \phi)=U_{0}=\frac{P_{\text {rad }}}{4 \pi} \quad\left[\frac{\text { Watts }}{\text { steradian }}\right]
$$

And thus the power density created by this isotropic radiator will be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(r, \theta, \phi) & =U(\theta, \phi) \frac{\hat{r}}{r^{2}} \\
& =U_{0} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r^{2}} \\
& =\frac{P_{r a d}}{4 \pi} \frac{\hat{r}}{r^{2}} \\
& =\frac{P_{\text {rad }}}{4 \pi r^{2}} \hat{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that this makes perfect sense!

Consider a sphere, centered at the origin, with radius $r$. At the center of this sphere is an isotropic radiator, and thus the power density must be precisely the same at every point on the surface of this sphere.

Q: Why is that?

A: Remember, the radiation of an isotropic radiator is independent of $\theta$ and $\phi$ (i.e., the same in all directions), and every point on the sphere is precisely the same distance from the radiator (the distance $r$ ). The power density thus must likewise be a constant across this entire spherical surface.

Q: But what is the value of this constant?

A: We simply take the power flowing through this sphere (i.e., $P_{\text {rad }}$ by conservation of energy), and divide it by the surface area of the sphere. Recall the surface area of this sphere is $4 \pi r^{2}$ !


## Spherical Coordinates

* Geographers specify a location on the Earth's surface using three scalar values: longitude, latitude, and altitude.
* Both longitude and latitude are angular measures, while altitude is a measure of distance.
* Latitude, longitude, and altitude are similar to spherical coordinates.
* Spherical coordinates consist of one scalar value ( $r$ ), with units of distance, while the other two scalar values $(\theta, \phi)$ have angular units (degrees or radians).


1. For spherical coordinates, $r(0 \leq r<\infty)$ expresses the distance of the point from the origin (i.e., similar to altitude).
2. Angle $\theta(0 \leq \theta \leq \pi)$ represents the angle formed with the $z$-axis (i.e., similar to latitude).
3. Angle $\phi(0 \leq \phi<2 \pi)$ represents the rotation angle around the $z$-axis, precisely the same as the cylindrical coordinate $\phi$ (i.e., similar to longitude).


Thus, using spherical coordinates, a point in space can be unambiguously defined by one distance and two angles.

