<u>Mapping Z to Γ </u>

Recall that line impedance and reflection coefficient are **equivalent**—either one can be expressed in terms of the other:

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{Z(z) - Z_0}{Z(z) + Z_0} \quad \text{and} \quad Z(z) = Z_0 \left(\frac{1 + \Gamma(z)}{1 - \Gamma(z)}\right)$$

Note this relationship also depends on the characteristic impedance Z_0 of the transmission line. To make this relationship more direct, we first define a normalized impedance value z' (an impedance coefficient!):

$$z'(z) = \frac{Z(z)}{Z_0} = \frac{R(z)}{Z_0} + j \frac{X(z)}{Z_0} = r(z) + j x(z)$$

Using this definition, we find:

Γ

$$z) = \frac{Z(z) - Z_0}{Z(z) + Z_0} = \frac{Z(z)/Z_0 - 1}{Z(z)/Z_0 + 1} = \frac{Z'(z) - 1}{Z'(z) + 1}$$

2/8

Normalized Impedance

Thus, we can express $\Gamma(z)$ explicitly in terms of **normalized impedance** z'--and vice

versa!

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{z'(z) - 1}{z'(z) + 1} \qquad z'(z) = \frac{1 + \Gamma(z)}{1 - \Gamma(z)}$$

The equations above describe a **mapping** between coefficients z' and Γ . This means that each and every normalized **impedance** value likewise corresponds to one specific point on the **complex** Γ **plane**!

For example, say we wish to mark or somehow	case	Ζ	Ζ'	Г	
indicate the values of normalized impedance z'	1	x	x	1	
complex Γ plane.	2	0	0	-1	
Some values we already know specifically \rightarrow	3	Z ₀	1	0	
	4	jZ ₀	j	j	
	5	$-jZ_0$	- <i>j</i>	- <i>j</i>	

<u>Mapping points on both the Γ and Z planes</u>



<u>Mapping contours on both the Γ and Z planes</u>

Now, the preceding provided examples of the mapping of **points** between the complex (normalized) impedance plane, and the complex Γ plane. We can likewise **map whole contours** (i.e., sets of points) between these two complex planes. We shall first look at two familiar cases.

$$Z = R$$

In other words, the case where impedance is purely real, with no reactive component (i.e., X = 0); meaning that normalized impedance is:

$$z' = r + j0$$
 (*i.e.*, $x = 0$)

where we recall that $r = R/Z_0$.

Remember, this real-valued impedance results in a real-valued reflection coefficient:

$$\Gamma = \frac{r-1}{r+1}$$
I.E.,:
$$\Gamma_r \doteq Re\{\Gamma\} = \frac{r-1}{r+1}$$

$$\Gamma_i \doteq Im\{\Gamma\} = 0$$



Z = jX

In other words, the case where impedance is **purely imaginary**, with **no** resistive component (i.e., R = 0).

Meaning that normalized impedance is:

$$z' = 0 + jx$$
 (*i.e.*, $r = 0$)

where we recall that $x = X/Z_0$.

Remember, this **imaginary** impedance results in a reflection coefficient with **unity magnitude**:

 $|\Gamma| = 1$



What about r=0.5, or x=-1.5??

Q: These **two** "mappings" may very well be fascinating in an **academic** sense, but they are **not** particularly relevant, since actual values of impedance generally have **both** a real and imaginary component.

Sure, mappings of more **general** impedance contours (e.g., r = 0.5 or x = -1.5) onto the complex Γ would be useful—but it seems clear that those mappings are impossible to achieve!?!

A: Actually, not only are mappings of more general impedance contours (such as r = 0.5 and x = -1.5) onto the complex Γ plane **possible**, these mappings have **already** been achieved—thanks to **Dr**. Smith and his famous chart!