## <u>Special Cases of Source</u> <u>and Load Impedance</u>

Consider again the power **absorbed** by the load (delivered by the source):





It is evident that this power transfer is dependent on **each** and **every** element of the equivalent circuit—the **source parameters**  $V_g$  and  $Z_g$ , as well as the **load impedance**  $Z_f$ .

**Q:** I assume that we want to **maximize** this power transfer. How can we maximize  $P_{abs}$ ??

A: The answer to that question is among the best known in electrical engineering. Unfortunately, it is also frequently misunderstood and misapplied—so pay attention!

### Match the Load to the Source

First, let's ask this question:

```
Q1: What load impedance Z_{L} will maximize the power delivered by the source (i.e., maximize P_{del})?
```



#### The Available Power of the Source

We can likewise determine what the value of this maximum power is. For

 $Z_L = Z_g^*$ , we find:

$$P_{del}\Big|_{Z_{L}=Z_{g}^{\star}} = \frac{\left|V_{g}\right|^{2}}{2} \frac{R_{g}}{\left|Z_{g}+Z_{g}^{\star}\right|^{2}} = \frac{\left|V_{g}\right|^{2}}{2} \frac{R_{g}}{\left|2R_{g}\right|^{2}} = \frac{\left|V_{g}\right|^{2}}{8R_{g}}$$

This maximum delivered power is very important and is dubbed the available power  $P_{avl}$  of the source:



Note the available power is dependent just on source parameters (i.e.,  $V_g$  and  $R_g$ ), and so  $P_{av}$  is a parameter of the source only.

This available power is the **most** that can be **delivered** by the source(i.e.,  $P_{del} \le P_{avl}$ ), and this available source power can **only** be delivered if a load  $Z_L = Z_g^*$  is connected:

$$P_{del} = P_{avl} = \frac{|V_g|^2}{8R_g} = \text{iff} \quad Z_L = Z_g^*$$

### <u>A Completely Different Question!</u>

Now, let's ask completely different question:





### **Don't Make This Mistake!**

Although it is very common for electrical engineers to incorrectly assume the answer to question Q2 is the answer to Q1 (i.e.,  $Z_g = Z_L^*$ ), and this is far from the correct answer!

Using the correct solution  $Z_q = -jX_L$ , we find:

$$P_{abs}|_{Z_{g}=-jX_{L}} = \frac{|V_{g}|^{2}}{2} \frac{R_{L}}{|Z_{g}+Z_{L}|^{2}} = \frac{|V_{g}|^{2}}{2R_{L}}$$

Whereas if we enforce a "conjugate match"  $Z_q = Z_L^*$  the load instead absorbs:

$$\frac{P_{abs}|_{Z_{g}=Z_{L}^{*}}}{2} = \frac{|V_{g}|^{2}}{2} \frac{R_{L}}{|Z_{L}^{*}+Z_{L}|^{2}} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{|V_{g}|^{2}}{2R_{L}}\right)$$



### **Dazed and Confused**

**Q:** But if  $Z_L$  is not equal to  $Z_q^*$  ( $Z_L \neq Z_q^*$ ) isn't the absorbed power less than the available power ??

A: You bet!

If  $Z_q = -jX_L$ , the absorbed power is **far less** than the **available power**.

Q: I'm so confused!

I thought you said that setting  $Z_q = -jX_L$  maximized the absorbed power??

A: See the next page!

# ZL cannot alter available power—

### but Zg sure the heck can!

A: Here's the deal; altering the value of **load** impedance  $Z_{L}$  changes the delivered power  $P_{del}$  but does not alter the available power  $P_{avl}$  of the source.

The best we can do is set  $Z_{L}$  such that all available power is delivered to the load (i.e., set  $Z_{L} = Z_{g}^{*}$ ).

Contrast this with altering the value of source impedance  $Z_g$ . Changing  $Z_g$  will alter the available power  $P_{avl}$  of the source!

Recall:

$$P_{avl} = \frac{\left|V_{g}\right|^{2}}{8R_{g}}$$

The ideal source impedance  $(Z_g = -jX_L)$  is purely reactive, so  $R_g = 0$ —the available power is therefore **infinite**!

Of course, achieving infinite available power is **not practical**—available power  $P_{avl}$  of any **realizable** source is finite.

### Don't ever do this!

Still, engineers attempting to maximize the power absorbed by a load should:

- 1. Attempt to select/design/alter the source such that its available power  $P_{avl}$  is maximized.
- 2. Attach a load that is conjugate matched  $(Z_{L} = Z_{g}^{*})$  to this source, such that all available power is delivered to the load.

A problem that often arises is a source with a large available power has a very low source impedance, such that it is difficult/impractical to provide a load where  $Z_L = Z_g^*$ .

Engineers sometimes alter/design/select **another source** that it **easier** to "match", but usually this results in a dramatic **decrease in available power**!

### See what I mean?

For **example**, consider two cases:

| Source | Available Power | Delivered Power |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1      | 500 mW          | 200mW           |
| 2      | 100 mW          | 100 mW          |

For which source is "power transfer maximized"?

For source 2, **100%** of the **available power** is delivered to the load—clearly the **load is matched** to the source impedance.

For source 1, only 40% of the available power is delivered to the load—the load is most definitely not matched to source impedance.

Yet, the mismatched load absorbs twice the power of the mismatched case.

It does so because the available power of source 1 is **five times** larger than that of source 2.

> It's better to have most of alot than all of very little!!

### **Be careful!**

Hence, we need to be **careful** when considering a conjugate match (e.g., what does "maximum power transfer" **really** mean?).

Selecting/altering the load to match a source is a good idea, but selecting/altering the source to match a load is typically not.

This question has—and continues to—spark many **arguments** among electrical engineers!!

