Experimental Assessment of Tandem-Hopped Radar and Communications (THoRaCs)

Brandon Ravenscroft¹, **Patrick M. McCormick²**, Shannon D. Blunt¹, Erik S. Perrins³, Cenk Sahin², Justin G. Metcalf⁴

¹Radar Systems Lab (RSL), University of Kansas
 ²Sensors Directorate, Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL)
 ³Communications & Signal Processing Lab (CSPL), University of Kansas
 ⁴Radar Innovations Lab (RIL), University of Oklahoma





This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract #N00014-16-C-2029 and by a subcontract with Matrix Research, Inc. for research sponsored by AFRL under Prime Contract #FA8650-14-D-1722.



Motivation



- Increasing spectral congestion is driving research into radar/communication cohabitation strategies
 - Here we consider experimental validation of a co-design approach for a <u>single multi-</u> <u>function system</u>
- In [1], a power-efficient, multi-function arrangement denoted as Tandem-Hopped Radar and Communications (THoRaCs) was introduced
 - A two-stage optimization procedure places an <u>undistorted</u> OFDM signal into a <u>constant</u> <u>amplitude FM noise waveform</u>
- Here we focus on experimental evaluation of THoRaCs
 - <u>Dual-function</u> radar and communication perspectives are considered in <u>loopback</u> and <u>open-air</u> measurements
 - [1] B. Ravenscroft, P.M. McCormick, S.D. Blunt, E.S. Perrins, J.G. Metcalf, "A power-efficient formulation of tandem-hopped radar and communications," *IEEE Radar Conf.*, Oklahoma, City, OK, Apr. 2018.







- In the THoRaCs formulation, the composite radar + OFDM waveform is directly designed as a <u>single dual-purpose emission</u>
 - This composite waveform is *constant amplitude* and *continuous*,
 thus amenable to high-power transmitters
 - The two-stage optimization procedure provides *undistorted communication subcarriers*
 - The undistorted OFDM signal is a *component* of a **constant amplitude FM signal**

$$s(t) = r(t) + e(t) = \exp(j\phi(t))$$
Composite waveform
OFDM signal
"excess" signal required to
enforce right-hand side
Continuous phase
function
THoRaCs is NOT constant-envelope OFDM (CE-OFDM)
where subcarriers are placed directly in the *exp*(•) term





- We wish to generate a pulsed FM radar waveform of duration *T* and 3-dB bandwidth *B* that contains *N* embedded OFDM subcarriers
 - Subcarriers modulated with <u>arbitrary</u> QAM symbols
 - Here there is one symbol / subcarrier / pulse
- As long as <u>N is sufficiently less than BT</u>, the two-stage optimization procedure exploits the available degrees of freedom to produce a *true OFDM signal* that **resides within an FM waveform**
- Each waveform is spectrally-shaped to yield low autocorrelation sidelobes
 A Gaussian shaped power spectrum is employed here
- A total of *M* unique pulsed waveforms are produced to form a coherent processing interval (CPI)
 - The inherent waveform agility realizes <u>incoherent sidelobes</u> that average out when combined in slow-time





- Initialize the m^{th} pulse, defined on $-T/2 \le t \le T/2$, with a random FM waveform denoted as $s_{0,m}(t)$ via a random instantiation of polyphase-coded FM (PCFM) [2]
- Over the same time interval, define the communication signal as

$$r_m(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_{m,n} \left| c_{m,n} \right| \exp\left[j \left(2\pi f_{m,n} t + \angle c_{m,n} \right) \right]$$

where, for the *m*th pulse,

 $f_{m,n}$ is the frequency of the *n*th subcarrier,

 $c_{m,n}$ is the QAM symbol encoded onto the n^{th} subcarrier,

 $a_{m,n}$ is the amplitude scaling factor that shapes the spectrum at the n^{th} subcarrier.



 [2] S.D. Blunt, M. Cook, J. Jakabosky, J. de Graaf, E. Perrins, "Polyphase-coded FM (PCFM) radar waveforms, part I: implementation," *IEEE Trans. Aerospace & Electronic Systems*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 2218-2229, July 2014.



2019 International Radar Conference – Toulon, France







- In the formulation of $r_m(t)$, three design parameters are examined:
 - 1) Size of the OFDM symbol constellation
 - 2) Number of OFDM subcarriers *N* relative to waveform *BT*
 - 3) Placement of subcarriers within the radar spectrum





Symbol

constellation

4-QAM

16-QAM

64-QAM



- A *noise-free* simulation of the **optimized** THoRaCs waveforms is conducted to assess **distortion** caused to the embedded OFDM signal via *optimization only*
 - A set of $M = 10^3$ THoRaCs waveforms with BT = 200 are optimized and the OFDM subcarriers are demodulated with knowledge of the constellation and subcarrier placement
- Two instantiations of embedded OFDM parameters are considered
 - <u>4-QAM</u> constellation with N = 50 (25% of BT = 200) & N = 150 (75% of BT = 200) subcarriers per pulse, embedded via the "Contiguous Fixed" placement strategy
 - Highlights impact of OFDM distortion caused by the optimization procedure
- The "spread" of the demodulated symbols around their respective constellation points is assessed via the root-mean-square (RMS) <u>error vector magnitude (EVM)</u>, expressed as a *percentage* of the average symbol energy





Simulated Assessment: Subcarrier Capacity

4-QAM constellation and "Contiguous Fixed" subcarrier placement



2019 International Radar Conference – Toulon, France



- For all <u>experimental</u> evaluations conducted, a set of $M = 10^3$ THoRaCs waveforms were optimized having BT = 200 (B = 66.7 MHz and $T = 3 \mu$ s)
 - The <u>collective</u> CPI has aggregate $BT = 2 \times 10^5$ that yields ~53 dB of *coherent integration gain* at the radar receiver
- Each waveform is **physically implemented** on a Tektronix arbitrary waveform generator (AWG) for transmission
 - Digitally up-sampled to 10 GS/s at a center frequency of 3.55 GHz and PRF of 25 kHz
- Each received signal is captured by a Rohde & Schwarz real-time spectrum analyzer (RSA)
 - I/Q sampled at a rate of 200 MHz





- **Experimental assessment** of the radar operation of optimized THoRaCs waveforms was conducted in an <u>MTI mode</u>
 - Vehicular traffic captured from the roof of a building on the University of Kansas campus
- Captured reflections of the CPI are pulse-compressed with loopbackmeasured versions of the waveforms
 - Accounts for hardware distortion effects
- Range-Doppler response is formed via standard FFT Doppler processing
 - Zero-Doppler projection clutter notch (stationary platform)
 - Taylor window applied across Doppler





KU

4-QAM constellation and "**Contiguous Fixed**" subcarrier placement with *N* = 50 (25% of *BT*) subcarriers





- To assess <u>communication</u> performance, loopback captures are first performed to establish a baseline
 - Transmitter (AWG) is connected directly to receiver (RSA) and both are referenced from the <u>same clock</u> to avoid synchronization issues (not realistic)
 - Hard-wired channel does not possess multi-path and removes need for equalization
 - Lack of synchronization and channel equalization <u>are addressed</u> in open-air measurements
- Optimized THoRaCs waveforms with three instantiations of embedded OFDM parameters are considered
 - <u>4-QAM, 16-QAM and 64-QAM</u> constellations with *N* = 50 (25% of *BT* = 200) subcarriers per pulse, embedded via "Contiguous Fixed" placement strategy
 - Corresponds to data rates of 2.5, 5.0 and 7.5 Mbps, respectively, at PRF = 25 kHz
- The RMS EVM again used to assess the **accuracy** of symbol demodulation



Experimental Comm. Assessment: Loopback

<u>**4, 16 and 64-QAM** constellations with "**Contiguous Fixed**" subcarrier placement and *N* = 50 (25% of *BT*) subcarriers</u>



Excellent agreement with true symbols – no demodulation errors



- The open-air communication capability of THoRaCs waveforms was <u>experimentally</u> <u>assessed</u> in a line-of-sight (LOS) configuration
 - Transmit and receive antennas placed directly facing each other separated by ~ 50 meters
 - Direct LOS is present with some multipath due to surrounding buildings/trees and ground bounce
- The same three waveform sets evaluated for loopback communication were evaluated in open-air
 - Transmit antenna is fed by AWG and RSA obtains signal captured by receive antenna
 - <u>No common reference clock</u>







- Open-air communication operation requires the <u>receiver to perform</u> <u>synchronization and channel estimation/equalization</u>
 - Accomplished via a-priori knowledge of pilot symbols
- Embedded OFDM signal does <u>**not</u>** contain a cyclic prefix</u>
 - Standard OFDM frequency domain equalization is expected to realize <u>degradation</u> due to mismatch effects
- Equalization is instead performed by estimating the inverse channel response with a <u>Wiener Filter (WF)</u> and forming an inverse filter using a <u>zero-forcing (ZF)</u> equalizer
 - Inverse filter is applied to captured waveform to compensate for channel distortion
- Communication performance is assessed through demodulated RMS EVM and <u>symbol error rate (SER)</u>



Open-Air Comm. Equalization/Synchronization KU

- For each set of 1000 waveforms, <u>every 50th pulse</u> serves as a *pilot* waveform for channel equalization
- Receiver must perform synchronization due to lack of a common clock
 - Pulse-to-pulse frequency offset (small) can be estimated as a constant phase drift between pulses (with some estimation error inherent)
- The <u>1st and 2nd</u> pulses of each 1000 pulse set are used as pilots to estimate the phase offset
 - Frequency offset compensation applied to all other pulses in a <u>progressive</u> manner
- Progressive frequency offset compensation is <u>restarted</u> at every 50th pulse when channel re-estimation occurs
 - Reduces error-induced phase shift



Experimental Comm. Assessment: Open-Air

<u>4, 16 and 64-QAM</u> constellations with "**Contiguous Fixed**" subcarrier placement and *N* = **50 (25% of** *BT*) subcarriers







- <u>Experimental assessment</u> of THoRaCs in radar and communication modes demonstrates <u>viability</u> as a <u>dual-function waveform</u>
- Channel <u>estimation</u>, <u>equalization</u>, and <u>synchronization</u> was crude and unsophisticated
 - Communication performance stands to benefit from more sophisticated estimation/equalization/synchronization methods along with error correction coding
- Nonlinear <u>distortion</u> and memory effects of a high-power transmitter could further impact communication performance ... though not as much as standard OFDM

