

## Performance Evaluation and Design Improvement of Media Access Control Protocols for Broadband Wireless Local Loop

#### **Mihir Thaker**

Masters Thesis Presentation Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science University of Kansas July 19, 2000

Defense Committee: Dr. James Roberts, Chair Dr. Joseph Evans Dr. Victor Frost

### Organization

- Introduction
- Motivation
- Performance Evaluation
- Design Improvements & Re-evaluation
- Conclusions & Future Work



#### **Introduction - Broadband Wireless Local Loop (B-WLL)**

- Global demand for high speed Internet access.
- Need for a cost effective and viable solution for the "last mile" problem.
- Fixed wireless access system addresses this problem.
- Restrictions eased for MMDS, MDS and U-NII bands.
- Faster deployment, lower construction, operating and maintenance cost.
- Deployment as a two way point to multi-point system.

### **Introduction - Media Access Control (MAC) Protocols**

- Channel allocation schemes that control the usage of a shared resource and possess desirable performance characteristics.
- In a wireless system, available bandwidth is a resource shared by a large user population.
- TDMA, FDMA and CDMA are popular access schemes.
- Two currently used schemes,
  - Reservation TDMA (R-TDMA), a variation of the TDMA scheme.
  - Multi Frequency Polling (MF-Polling), a variation of the FDMA scheme.
- Desirable performance characteristics
  - High aggregate throughput
  - Low average queuing delay
  - Support a large user population

### **Introduction - Reservation TDMA (R-TDMA)**

- Slot-on-demand TDMA system.
- Request can be made by,
  - Contention (Slotted ALOHA with Exponential Backoff)
  - Piggybacking
- Frame structure is repetitive and is time division duplexed in nature.
- Contention slots varied according to collision conditions.
- Higher slot occupancy per frame implies greater frame efficiency.
- System is thus adaptable to varying traffic conditions.

## **Introduction - Multi Frequency Polling (MF-Polling)**

- Symmetric division of available bandwidth.
- FDM in upstream.
- Polling effective on each channel when the number of users on the channel exceeds one.
- Polling cycle time of 30 ms.
- Inactivity timeouts associated with each user in system.
- Polling ratio decides the maximum number of users per channel.
- Exponential backoff with a maximum window size of 1024, similar to Ethernet.



### Motivation

- Problem
  - How to choose an appropriate MAC protocol?
  - Given a MAC protocol, how can we improve its performance ?
- Solution
  - Performance evaluation based on HTTP and FTP applications for various load conditions.
  - Identified the contention delay component of average queuing delay as a parameter for improvement
  - Design Improvement
    - Maintain the number of contention slots as constant for each frame in the R-TDMA system.
    - Reduction of maximum window size for the MF-Polling system.

## **Performance Evaluation**

#### **Performance Evaluation**

- Test Scenarios
  - Packet Generator Test
    - To measure the performance bound of the protocols.

#### - FTP Tests

- FTP Low Download
  - 1 file/hr, 10,000 bytes/file
- FTP High Download
  - 10 files/hr, 100,000 bytes/file

#### - HTTP Tests

- HTTP Light Browsing
  - 5 pages/hr, 10 objects/page, 12000 bytes/object
- HTTP Heavy Browsing
  - 60 pages/hr, 10 objects/page, 12000 bytes/object
- Medium Load Test
  - FTP Low Download and HTTP Light Browsing
- Load conditions suggested by OPNET<sup>™</sup>.

#### **Packet Generator Test**

- Shows the upper bound on the system performance.
- Available bandwidth 12.5 MHz, QPSK modulation.
- Tested using a packet generator with inter-arrival rate marginally greater than the link rate.
- R-TDMA shows better performance than MF-Polling. However, throughput gradually decreases with increase in the number of users.



#### **Packet Generator Test (cont...)**

- Devised a metric which observes the product of number of users and throughput.
- The MF-Polling system supports a large user population compared to the R-TDMA system.

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **FTP Low Download**

- Throughput degradation greater for R-TDMA on account of high collision.
- Light load conditions lead to lower throughput for MF-Polling.

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### FTP Low Download (cont...)

- Greater collision rate leads to steep increase in queuing delay for R-TDMA for a large user population.
- Queuing delay for MF-Polling is higher than R-TDMA as data transmission is dependent on the polling cycle period.

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **FTP High Download**

- Gradual rise in throughput for R-TDMA on account of reservation effect.
- Large timeout value leads to lower contention for MF-Polling for higher number of users and thus performs better.

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### FTP High Download (cont...)

- Greater collision rate and variable number of slots leads to steep increase in queuing delay for R-TDMA for large number of users in the system.
- Queuing delay for MF-Polling improves on account of reduction in contention delay and performs better as compared to the previous test case.

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **HTTP Light Browsing**

- Larger amount of data, hence higher frame efficiency and continued reservation for the R-TDMA system.
- MF-Polling throughput limited by the associated polling cycle time.

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **HTTP Light Browsing (cont...)**

- Reservation effect leads to lower contention and lower queuing delay values for R-TDMA.
- MF-Polling performance hampered on account of the large values of polling cycle time.

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **HTTP Heavy Browsing**

- Larger amount of data, hence higher frame efficiency and continued reservation for the R-TDMA system.
- MF-Polling throughput limited by the associated polling cycle time.

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **HTTP Heavy Browsing (cont...)**

• Reservation effect in R-TDMA leads to lower contention. However, prolonged reservation leads to high queuing delay comparable to MF-Polling.

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Medium Load**

- Combination of FTP Low Download and HTTP Light Browsing.
- MF-Polling throughput performance stable over a large range of users and hence can support a large user population.

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Medium Load (cont...)

- MF-Polling queuing delay suffers on account of large contention delay and polling cycle time.
- Continuous data on account of HTTP traffic aids R-TDMA to maintain reservation and thus has lower queuing delay values.

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **Proposed Design Improvement**

#### **Proposed Design Improvement - Parameter Selection**

- Throughput directly affected by the queuing delay.
- Queuing Delay composed of
  - Contention Delay
  - Delay on account of system architecture.
- No architectural changes required for improving the performance of the contention mechanism.

### **Proposed Design Improvement - Reservation TDMA**

- Varying number of slots cause mismatch between selection of frame for request transmission and number of contention slots available for that frame.
- Keep number of contention slots fixed to its maximum possible value.
- Reduces randomness as contention is dependent upon the frame that a user selects for request transmission.

#### **Proposed Design Improvement - Multi Frequency Polling**

- Retransmission of request depends upon the available window size and polling cycle time.
- Contention delay can be controlled by reducing the maximum window size to a value such that, "The original maximum contention delay is not exceeded by the maximum number of retransmits for the reduced contention window".
- Value for maximum contention window size reduced from 1024 to 32.

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

19 July 2000

# **Performance Re-evaluation**

#### **FTP Low Download**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### FTP Low Download (cont...)

• Throughput improved on account of reduction in queuing delay for both the protocols.

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### FTP Low Download (cont...)

• Improved queuing delay performance for both the protocols. However, R-TDMA still performs better than MF-Polling.

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **FTP High Download**

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### FTP High Download (cont...)

• Improved throughput and graceful degradation for MF-Polling on account of improved queuing delay performance.

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### FTP High Download (cont...)

• Queuing delay performance for MF-Polling becomes comparable to that of R-TDMA and is better for more number of users in the system

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **HTTP Light Browsing**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

19 July 2000

#### **HTTP Light Browsing (cont...)**

• R-TDMA still performs better than MF-Polling and also has a stable range of throughput.

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **HTTP Light Browsing (cont...)**

• Since there is less improvement in individual queuing delay performance, the overall comparison remains same as the case without improvement.

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **HTTP Heavy Browsing**

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **HTTP Heavy Browsing (cont...)**

- Improved delay performance affects the throughput performance for MF-Polling.
- Reservation factor comes into effect for R-TDMA and hence reduced contention.

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **HTTP Heavy Browsing (cont...)**

MF-Polling queuing delay comparable • Average Queuing Delay Comparison to that of R-TDMA. 0.3 0.25 Delay (sec) 0.2 R-TDMA 0.15 MF-Polling 0.1 0.05 0 20 40 60 80 0 # Users

#### **Medium Load**

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

19 July 2000

#### Medium Load (cont...)

• Improved queuing delay performance for the R-TDMA system improves its throughput and stabilizes it over a large range of users.

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Medium Load (cont...)

• R-TDMA has lower values of queuing delay than MF-Polling due to reduced contention.

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Conclusions and Future Work**

#### **Conclusions and Future Work**

#### • Conclusions

- Protocol architecture is critical in deciding the system performance. Thus, system design can be based upon the output parameter of concern.
- Contention mechanism is as significant as the protocol architecture.
- Design improvements recommended for applications that have stringent demands on delay values.

#### - R-TDMA

- R-TDMA provides better throughput and delay characteristics for traffic patterns that are continuous in nature.
- The R-TDMA system is more suited to HTTP traffic than the MF-Polling system.

#### **Conclusions and Future Work (cont...)**

- MF-Polling
  - The MF-Polling system performs better under light load conditions or for traffic that is bursty in nature.
  - The MF-Polling system is more suited to FTP traffic than the R-TDMA system.
  - The MF-Polling system can support a larger user population, but delivers lower throughput and higher average queuing delay than the R-TDMA system.

#### **Conclusions and Future Work (cont...)**

#### • Future Work

- Synthesize a MAC scheduler for the MF-TDMA system that would take advantage of the lower queuing delays, high throughput and larger supported user population.
- Modify the contention mechanism that would take into account various types of users present in system. This allows us to develop a fully QoS-aware MAC system.

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)